Federal

CONNECTICUT LOCAL BRIDGE PROGRAM

Fiscal Year 2005

PRELIMINARY APPLICATION

BEAR HILL ROAD over

NATCHAUG RIVER

Chaplin, CT

ConnDOT Bridge No. 04601

Prepared for the
Connecticut Department of Transportation
Local Bridge Program
Newington, Connecticut

Prepared by
WMC Consulting Engineers
87 Holmes Road
Newington, Connecticut

April 2004



Total Estimated Project Cost

CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

James F. Byrnes, Jr., Commissioner



1,512,000

PRELIMINARY APPLICATION FOR THE LOCAL BRIDGE PROGRAM

Preliminary application is hereby made by the Town/City/Borough of **Chaplin** for possible inclusion in the Local Bridge Program for **Fiscal Year 2005** for the following structure:

North Bear Hill Road over Natchaug River. Bridge Location: .4 miles from Route 198 Bridge Number: 04601 Length of Span: 75 feet Sufficiency Rating: 56.77 Priority Rating: 54.07 Evaluation & Rating Performed by: X State Forces Others If Others, Name of Professional Engineer: Connecticut Professional Engineers License Number: Engineering Firm: Engineer's Address: Description of Existing Condition of Structure: (attach description) Description of Project Scope: (note repair code; attach narrative/preliminary plans & specifications). Name of Municipal Official to Contact: Rusty Lanzit Mailing Address: Town Hall, Rte. 198, 495 Phoenixville Rd., P.O. Box 286, Willimantic, CT 06235-0286 Telephone: (860) 455-9455 FAX: (860) 455-0027 E-mail: _____ **Preliminary Cost Figures:** Preliminary Engineering Fees (Include Breakdown of Fees) 162,000 (Not to Exceed 15% of Construction Costs) Rights-of-Way Cost (If Applicable) N/A Municipally Owned Utility Relocation Cost N/A Estimated Construction Costs (Include Detailed Estimate) 1,080,000 Construction Engineering (Inspection, Materials Testing) \$ 162,000 (Not to Exceed 15% of Construction Cost) Contingencies (10% of Construction Costs Only) 108,000

Financial Aid Data:

Federal Reimbursement: (Limited t Total Estimated Project Cost multip	o qualifying bridges – See Appendix1) plied by 80%:
Project Reimbursement Request	
State Local Bridge Project Grant: (Allowable Grant Percentage	Cannot be combined with Federal reimbursement) _% of Total Cost.
Project Grant Request \$	
State Local Bridge Project Loan: (Maximum 50% of total project cost)
Project Loan Request \$ 0	
Schedule: (Anticipated Dates)	
Public Hearing Conducted:	Fall 2005
Design Completion:	Winter 2005/6
Property Acquisition Completion:	N/A
Utilities Coordination Completion:	N/A
Construction Advertising:	Winter 2005/6
Supplemental Application Submission:	Spring 2006
Start of Construction:	Spring 2006
Completion of Construction:	Fall 2006
I hereby certify that the above is ac	curate and true, to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Signature: _ (Chief Elec	ted Official, Nown Manager, or other Officer Duly Authorized)
Date:	5/13/04
	Stanley C. Juber

Administrator of the Local Bridge Program Connecticut Department of Transportation 2800 Berlin Turnpike, P.O. Box 317546

Newington, Connecticut 06131-7546

2. Description / Existing Condition

The bridge carrying Bear Hill Road over the Natchaug River consists of corrugated metal bridge deck on two simple span multiple plate steel girders with a bituminous concrete wearing surface. The original structure was built in 1969 and was rehabilitated in 1990. The existing bridge travel way width is approximately 23.4 feet and is referenced as ConnDOT Bridge No. 04601. The bridge currently has a posted weight limit of 30 tons. The structure has a maximum span of 36 feet and is eligible for State and Federal funding under the Local Bridge Program for the fiscal year 2005.

Deck

According to the ConnDOT bridge inspection report, dated July 9, 2003 the deck is in fair condition (rating=5). The corrugated metal decking is surfaced with ½ inch oil/stone wearing surface over 2 inches of bituminous concrete. There are open cracks in the surface at the deck ends and in the pier area both in the original surface and bituminous patches. The undersides of the corrugated metal decking show light rusting at the fascias and along the form edges. The overlapping forms at the pier show light to heavy rusting with active leakage. The bridge rail consists of H-beam uprights welded to the fascia beams with an angle iron cap and metal beam rail.

Superstructure

According to the ConnDOT bridge inspection report, dated July 9, 2003, the superstructure is in poor condition (rating=4). There are no bearings over the westerly abutment with the steel stringers resting directly on the bridge seat. The easterly abutment bearings are thin plate about 1/8" thick with moderate rust and thin laminar rust between the plates and steel stringer lower flanges. Bearing #8 is undermined due to spalling of the bridge seat. The pier bearing appear to made of plow blade material. The pier cap is uneven and bearing surface with the stringers is not uniform.

The steel stringers are salvage material. Many of the stringers show flame cut holes on the ends All stringer ends of the easterly span show heavy laminar rusting of the web and both top and bottom flanges with section loss. Stringers #5 & #6 of the westerly span also show heavy laminar rusting over the pier with section loss. Stringer #5 has a perforation hole in the lower web area measuring 23" long by up to 1" high.

Substructure

According to the ConnDOT bridge inspection report, dated July 9, 2003, the substructure is in poor condition (rating=4). The cast in place concrete abutments were rehabilitated in 1990, they have a stepped block shape with a concrete rub coat. Both abutments show vertical cracking and delamination of the rub coat. The easterly cap shows spalling at the edges. The back walls are of channel steel with areas of heavy rusting and some laminar sheets. The pier cap was not rehabilitated and shows areas of spalling, loose and punky concrete. A square block structure

has been cast around the original pier stem, it shows random cracking and areas of light honeycomb. The westerly abutment wing walls are constructed of precast conrete blocks. There are boulders placed along the northern side of the easterly abutment, the is no wingwall at the southerly end of the abutment.

Channel and Channel Protection

According to the ConnDOT bridge inspection report, dated November 9, 1990, the channel is in satisfactory condition (rating=6). There is 2 vertical feet of scour along the nose of the pier. There is embankment encroachment with banks overhanging the channel, there is no riprap armoring.

Approach Condition

According to the ConnDOT bridge inspection report, July 9, 2003, the approach is in satisfactory condition (rating=6). The metal beam rail on the structure is carried onto the approaches. The connection at the northeasterly corner is partially disconnected. The pavement at the ends of the bridge have been patched numerous times and is breaking up.

3. Proposed Condition Replace Entire Structure

The existing structural evaluation rating is 4 (meets minimum tolerable limits). Based on the structural condition, the bridge warrants a complete replacement. The proposed replacement would include the following:

- 1) Remove the existing structure in its entirety, including removing the existing to below streambed grade.
- 2) Install new reinforced concrete abutments and wingwalls founded on steel piles.
- 3) Install a new prestressed concrete deck with a 26' travelway.
- 4) Install a new bridge rail system on new reinforced concrete parapets.
- 5) Place membrane waterproofing over the entire structure.
- 6) Install new guide railing and approaches.

Estimated construction cost for the work is \$1,080,389.00. A detailed estimate is provided on the following pages.

Structure No. 04601 Town CHAPLIN
Inspection Date 7/9/2003 Inspectors TEAM Z

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Loos	e Forms (not bound in	report)	Number of Sheets Enclosed
	Maintenance Memo		0
	Flagging Memos		0
	PONTIS Element Data Co	llection Form	1
	Plan Sheets	Already on file	0
Boun	d Report Pages		
	Title Cover Sheet		1
	Table of Contents		1
	Executive Summary	~	0
	Field Notes		11
	Calculations:	oad Rating Evaluation	0
	Qı	uantities & Cost Estimate	0
	Photo Sheets		6
	Photo Images		12
Form	<u>s</u>		
	BRI-18, Bridge Inspection	Form	6
	BRI-19, Highway Bridge In	nventory Form	2

Comments:

BRIDGE #: 04601		INSPECTION DATE: 7/9/2003
ASPECTION TYPE:		Routine PREVIOUS INSPECTION DATE: 8/29/2001 SNOOPER REQUIRED: No TEAM 2 SNOOPER USED: No
TOWN: CHAPLIN LOCATION: .4 MI FROM JO MAIN MATERIAL: Steel	CT RO	FEATURE CARRIED: NO BEAR HILL ROAD YEAR BUILT: 1969 OUTE 198 FEATURE INTERSECTED NATCHAUG RIVER YEAR REBUILT: 1990 MAIN DESIGN: Stringer/Multi-beam or Girde
INSPECTION VISITS: Inspection Date: 7/9/2003 Temperature: 72	F	Start Time: 7:45 AM Inspector: J. Brndiar Task: LEAD BRIDGE INSPECTOR Inspector: P. Venoutsos Task: BRIDGE INSPECTOR
58. DECK		OVERALL RATING 5
OVERLAY	6	THE ONE-HALF INCH THICK, OIL AND STONE SURFACE TREATMENT, OVER TWO INCHES OF BITUMINOUS MATERIALS, OVER STAY IN PLACE FORMS, STILL SHOWS FULL WIDTH TRANSVERSE CRACKING, OPEN A MAXIMUM OF ONE INCH, MAINLY IN THE AREAS OF THE DECK, ADJACENT TO THE PIER. AREAS OF BITUMINOUS PATCHES, ARE NOTED ALONG EACH DECK END, FROM THE REPAIR OF PAST REPORTED DECK END SPALLING. BOTH DECK ENDS SHOW MULTIPLE TRANSVERSE CRACKING, WITHIN THE PAST SURFACES, AS WELL AS IN THE NEWER BITUMINOUS PATCHES. SOME SCRAPES, AND GOUGES, ALONG THE DECK ENDS ARE ALSO NOTED. BOTH SHOULDERS SHOW LIGHT TO MODERATE SAND ACCUMULATION, WITH LIGHT SURFACE GOUGES. THE OUTSIDE FASCIA DECK EDGE PLATES STILL SHOW LIGHT TO MODERATE RUSTING, ALONG THEIR EDGES.
DECK STR. CONDITION	5	THE UNDERSIDES OF THE STAY IN PLACE FORMS SHOW LIGHT RUSTING OF THE FORM EDGES, ALONG BOTH OUTSIDE FASCIAS. THE FORM OVERLAP JOINTS OVER, AND ADJACENT TO, THE PIER SHOW SIGNS OF ACTIVE LEAKAGE, AND LIGHT TO HEAVY RUSTING. AREAS OF LIGHT RUSTING ARE ALSO NOTED ALONG LOCATIONS, ADJACENT TO THE STRINGER UPPER FLANGES. THE TOTAL AREA OF DETERIORATION, IS ABOUT TWO PERCENT.
CURBS	N	THIS STRUCTURE SHOWS NO CURBS.
MEDIAN	N	THIS STRUCTURE SHOWS NO BRIDGE MEDIAN.
SIDEWALKS	N	THIS STRUCTURE SHOWS NO SIDEWALKS.
PARAPET	N	THIS STRUCTURE SHOWS NO PARAPETS, OR RAILBASES.
RAILING	7	BOTH BRIDGE RAILS ARE COMPOSED OF H-BEAM POSTS, ATTATCHED TO STEEL STAND-OFFS, WHICH ARE WELDED TO THE FASCIA STRINGER WEBS, AN ANGLE IRON CAP, WITH ONE COURSE OF METAL BEAM RAIL CARRIED ACROSS THE STRUCTURE, FROM THE APPROACH ROADWAY. THE SURFACES STILL SHOW AREAS OF BLUSH, AND LIGHT SPOTTY RUSTING, WITH SMALL POCKETS OF PEELING PAINT. THERE IS STILL A MINOR COLLISION RUB ALONG THE NORTHEASTERLY CORNER.
PAINT	N	
FENCE	N	THIS STRUCTURE SHOWS NO BRIDGE FENCE.
DRAINS	N	THIS STRUCTURE SHOWS NO BRIDGE SCUPPERS, OR PVC DECK WEEPS.
LIGHTING STANDARD	N	THIS STRUCTURE SHOWS NO BRIDGE LIGHTING.
UTILITIES TYPE/SIZE	N	THIS STRUCTURE SHOWS NO UTILITIES.
ONSTRUCTION JOINTS	N	
EXPANSION JOINTS	N	WHILE THERE ARE NO FORMAL EXPANSION JOINTS, THE OVERLAY SURFACE, AND OLDER PAST REPAIRED AREAS, SHOWS MULTIPLE TRANSVERSE CRACKS, ALONG

BRIDGE #:	0460	1	INSPECTION DATE:	7/9/2003	
8. DECK				OVERALL RATING	5
			EACH END OF THE STRUCTURE.		
59. SUPERSTR	UCTURE			OVERALL RATING	4
	RINGERS	5	THERE ARE NO BEARINGS OVER THE WESTERLY ABUMENT. THE A THIN CONCRETE LEVELING CAP. THE CAP STILL RINGS HOLLOW OUTSIDE OF STRINGER 9. THE BEARINGS OVER THE PIER, ARE PIMATERIAL. THEY SHOW A VERY WAVY TEXTURE, AND A NON-UNI THE CAP, BECAUSE THE CAP ITSELF, IS WAVY. THEY ALSO SHOW RUSTING, WITH THICK LAMINAR SHEETS, MODERATE PITTING, AI AREAS. THE BEARINGS OVER THE EASTERLY ABUTMENT, ARE A TYPE, ABOUT AN EIGHT OF AN INCH IN THICKNESS. THEY SHOW I WITH THIN SHEETS OF LAMINAR, AND IMPACTED RUST BETWEEN STRINGER LOWER FLANGES. BEARINGS FIVE, EIGHT, AND NINE, I UNIFORM BEARING SURFACE, AND SPREADING BETWEEN THE PFLANGES. BEARING THREE, SHOWS A QUARTER INCH GAP BETW CAP, AND THE PLATE TO LOWER FLANGE. THE EAST EDGE OF BEAST SPAN OVER THE PIER, IS NOW UNDERMINED UP TO 3 INCH SPALLING OF THE PIER CAP. THE SALVAGED STRINGER SUPERSTRUCTURE, SHOWS FLAME OVARIOUS STRINGER ENDS, OVER THE EAST ABUTMENT, AND ALC STRINGER ENDS, OVER EACH SIDE, OF THE PIER. THIS HAS BEEN DOCUMENTED. THE STRINGERS SHOW AREAS OF BLUSH, AND SWEBS AND LOWER FLANGES, OF THE INTERIOR STRINGERS. ALS SCALE, FINS, AND SLIVERS. THE FASCIA STRINGERS, SHOW LIGHRUSTING OF THE INTERIOR TOP FLANGES, THRU-OUT. THE INTEFFLANGES, SHOW ISOLATED AREAS OF MODERATE TO HEAVY RUBOTTOM FLANGES ARE BENT UPWARDS, AS WELL AS LATERALL THE EAST ABUTMENT, SHOWS HEAVY RUSTING WITH LAMINAR SOVER THE EAST ABUTMENT, SHOWS HEAVY RUSTING WITH LAMINAR STRINGERS ARE BENT UPWARDS, AS WELL AS LATERALL THE EAST ABUTMENT, SHOWS HEAVY RUSTING WITH LAMINAR STRINGER 8 OVER THE EAST ABUTMENT, SHOWS HEAVY RUSTING WITH LAMINAR STRINGER 8 OVER THE EAST ABUTMENT, THE OUTSID AND A PORTION OF THE WEB, ARE COVERED WITH SAND DEBRIS OF LAMINAR RUST TO THE WEB, ARE COVERED WITH SAND DEBRIS OF LAMINAR RUST TO THE LOWER WEB, MEASURING TWO INCHIPEET IN LENGTH. THERE IS SOME PITTING. ALL STRINGERS ENDS SPAN, OVER THE PIER, SHOW HEAVY RUSTING WITH LAMINAR SHEETS FLANGES. STRINGER 5 OF THE WESTERLY SPAN, OVER THE PIER FLANGES. STRINGER 5 OF THE WESTERLY SPAN, OVER THE PIER FLANGES. STRINGER 5 OF THE WESTERL	W ALONG THE LOW BLADE TYPE FORM BEARING TO V AREAS OF HEAVY ND VISIBLE LOSS VERY THIN PLATE MODERATE RUSTIN N THE PLATE, AND SHOW A NON LATE, AND LOWER VEEN THE PLATE A EARING PLATE EIGI ES, DUE TO CUT HOLES OF DNG THE FASCIA N PAST POTTY RUST, TO T GO NOTED ARE MIL HT TO MODERATE RIOR STRINGER TO IST AREAS. MANY Y. STRINGER STRINGER INAR SHEETS. IG WITH LAMINAR EED. REFER TO THE UCK WITH A WE LOWER FLANGE. S. THERE IS A BAND ES HIGH, BY FIVE SOF THE EASTERL HEETS, OF THE E IS LOSS OF E PIER, OF THE S, OF THE S, OF THE TOP R, STILL SHOWS A	NG, ND HT, HE L PP ZER
	GIRDERS	N			_
	RBEAMS	N			
TRUSSES-G		N			
TRUSSES-F					
TRUSSES-	F)				
	PAINT		REFER TO THE ABOVE DESCRIPTIONS.		
	RUST		REFER TO THE ABOVE DESCRIPTIONS.		
MACHINERY M	OV SPAN	N			

BRIDGE #:	04601	INSPECTION DATE: 7/9/2003
9. SUPERSTR	UCTURE	OVERALL RATING 4
RIVETS	& BOLTS N	
WELDS &	CRACKS 8	THE STRINGERS ARE CHECKED HANDS-ON.
TIMBE	R DECAY N	
CONCRETE C	RACKING N	
COLLISION	DAMAGE 8	THE SUPERSTRUCTURE SHOWS NO COLLISION DAMAGE.
MEMBER AL	IGNMENT 8	
DEFLECT. UND	ER LOAD N	
VIBR. UND	ER LOAD N	
STA	ND PIPES n	
BARRELI	LADDERS N	
	(#·	ARE BARREL LADDERS OSHA COMPLIANT?
60. SUBSTRUC	TURE	OVERALL RATING 4
	RATIN	
ABUTMEN	NTS-STEM 6	BOTH ABUTMENTS ARE A CAST IN PLACE, TRI-STEP BLOCK SHAPE, WITH A CONCRETE RUB COAT FINISH. BOTH SHOW VERTICAL CRACKING, WITH DELAMINATIONS OF THE RUB COAT, POURLINE CRACKING, SHRINKAGE WITH CURING CRACKING, HONEYCOMBING, AND LIGHT SCALE AREAS. THE WESTERLY ABUTMENT SHOWS SEVERAL DELAMINATIONS ALONG THE UPPER CAP, ADJACENT TO THE STRINGERS. THE EASTERLY CAP EDGE SHOWS SOME MINIMAL EDGE SPALLING, BUT THE BEARINGS ARE NOT THREATENED.
ABUTMENTS-B	ACKWALL 5	THE CHANNEL SHAPED STEEL BACKWALLS, SHOW POCKETS OF MODERATE, TO HEAVY RUSTING, SOME WITH LAMINAR SHEETS, OF BOTH FLANGE AREAS, WITH LIGHT RUST AREAS, ALONG THE WEBS.
ABUTMENTS-F	OOTINGS 6	SIX LINEAR FEET OF THE FOOTING EDGE, AND TOP FASCIA, ARE STILL VISIBLE, ALONG THE WESTERLY ABUTMENT. THE PORTION THAT IS VISIBLE SHOWS LIGHT SCALING. THERE ARE ALSO TWO, CONCRETE TRANSVERSE STRUTS, BETWEEN THE PIER, AND THE WESTERLY ABUTMENT. THEY SHOW ONLY LIGHT SCALING.
ABUTSET	TLEMENT 8	THERE ARE NO SIGNS OF SETTLEMENT TO THE ABUTMENTS.
ABUTMENTS-WII	NGWALLS 7	TWO FOOT, BY FOUR FOOT, CONCRETE PRE-CAST BLOCKS, ARE USED AS WINGS ALONG THE WESTERLY ABUTMENT. THEY SHOW VEGETATION, AND EMBANKMENT OVERBURDEN. BOULDERS ARE PLACED ALONG THE NORTHERLY END, OF THE EASTERLY ABUTMENT. IT SHOWS SMALL FILLER STONE VOIDS. THERE IS NO FORMAL WINGWALL ALONG THE SOUTHERLY CORNER, OF THE EASTERLY ABUTMENT.
PIERS/BEI	NTS-CAPS 4	THE ORIGINAL PIER CAP IS VISIBLE, AND HAS NOT RECIEVED REHABILITATION REPAIRS IN THE PAST. IT STILL SHOWS AREAS OF MULTIPLE CRACKING, AND DELAMINATIONS TO THE RUB COAT, WITH HORIZONTAL POURLINE CRACKING. THE SOUTHERLY END OF THE CAP, SHOWS ABOUT 4 SQUARE FEET OF CORNER SPALLING, ANDSPALLING OF THE LOWER CAP BETWEEN THE CORNERS, ABOUT THREE INCHES IN DEPTH, REVEALING VERY LOOSE, AND PUNKY CONCRETE. THE REMAINING SURFACES WHERE NOT SPALLED, RING HOLLOW WHEN STRUK WITH A HAMMER. THE EASTERLY FASCIA, UNDER STRINGERS THREE, AND FOUR, SHOW FOUR SQUARE FEET OF SPALLING, ABOUT TWO INCH IN DEPTH, AND 2.5 SQUARE FEET OF SPALLING, WITH ADJACENT DELAMINATIONS, UNDER STRINGER 8, PAST UNDERMINING THE BEARING PLATE, UP TO 3 INCHES.
I ILIXO/DENI 3-F	ILL DEIVI IN	

BRIDGE #:	04601	INSPECTION DATE:	7/9/2003		
.0. SUBSTRUC	TURE		OVERALL RATING 4		
PIERS/BENTS	-COLUMN 7	A CAST IN PLACE, SQUARE BLOCK STRUCTURE, HAS BEEN CONSTRUCTED AROUND THE ORIGINAL STEM AREA, IN AN ATTEMPT TO ENCASE THE ORIGINAL STEM AREA. IT SHOWS RANDOM CRACKING, WITH AREAS OF LIGHT HONEYCOMB.			
PIERS/BENTS-F	OOTINGS 6	THE FOOTING IS STILL GENERALLY VISIBLE AROUND THE PERIMETER OF THE PIER. THE NORTHERLY INLET FASCIA OF THE FOOTING, IS STILL EXPOSED UP TO 24 INCHES HIGH. THE FOOTING SHOWS LIGHT TO MODERATE SCALING, WITH TRANSVERSE CRACKING, ALONG THE CAP.			
PIERS/BENTS-SE	TTLEMent 8	THERE ARE NO SIGNS OF SETTLEMENT TO THE PIER.			
EROSIO	N-SCOUR 6	TWO VERTICAL FEET OF SCOUR, HAS PAST OCCURED AT THE NEW THIS TAPERS OFF AS YOU MOVE DOWNSTREAM ALONG THE ST			
CONCRETE CRA	CK-SPALL 4	REFER TO ALL ABOVE DESCRIPTIONS.			
STEEL CO	RROSION N				
	PAINT N				
TIMBE	ER DECAY N				
COLLISION	DAMAGE 8	THE SUBSTRUCTURE SHOWS NO COLLISION DAMAGE.			
	DEBRIS 7	THERE IS LIGHT SAND DEBRIS ON THE PIER, AND ABUTMENT CA	PS.		
61. CHANNEL F			OVERALL RATING 6		
OLIANA.	RATING	SAS NOTED EARLIER, THERE IS TWO VERTICAL FEET OF PAST NO	TED SCOUR ALONG		
CHANNE	EL SCOUR 6	THE NOSE OF THE PIER. THE WATER DEPTH ALONG THE INLET, ONLY A COUPLE OF INCHES, TO AS MUSH AS 16, AT THIS TIME. T FRONT OF THE PIER, IS AS MUCH AS 2.5 FEET.	CAN RANGE FROM		
EMBANKMENT	EROSION 6				
	DEBRIS 6	THERE IS STILL A SILT ISLAND IN PLACE, DOWNSTREAM OF THE DEBRIS, IS NOTED ALONG THE EMBANKMENTS.	PIER. LIGHT TIMBER		
VEG	SETATION 6	ALL BANKS ARE WELL VEGETATED, AND OVERHANG THE CHANK	NEL.		
CHANNEL	CHANGE 6	THE CHANNEL HAS PAST CHANGED DUE TO ENCROACHMENT, E	ROSION, AND SCOUR.		
FENDER	R SYSTEM N				
SPUR DIKES 8	& JETTIES N				
	RIP RAP N	RIP-RAP IS NOT USED ALONG THE BANKS OF THIS CHANNEL.			
62. CULVERTS	& RETAINING	WALL	OVERALL RATING N		
APPROACH CO	NDITION	G	OVERALL RATING 6		
APPROA	ACH SLAB N	_			
RELIE	F JOINTS N				
APPROACH GU	JIDE RAIL 7	LONGER SECTIONS OF METAL BEAM RAILING, ARE NOTED ALON APPROACH SHOULDERS, AND CARRIED OVER THE STRUCTURE. ATTATCHMENT TO THE BRIDGE AT THE NORTHWESTERLY CORN PARTIALLY DISCONNECTED, AND IS STILL BOWING OUT SOMEWISHOWS SOME LONGITUDINAL TORN AREAS. VERY SHORT SECTION.	THE RAIL NER, HAS BECOME HAT, AND ALSO		

BRIDGE #:	04601	INSPECTION DATE:	7/9/2003			
PPROACH CO	NDITION		OVERALL RATING 6			
	NOTED ALONG THE EASTERLY APPROACH SHOULDERS. THE RAILING IS STILL DENTED AT THE STRUCTURE, ALONG THE NORTHEASTERLY CORNER.					
APPROACH PAVEMENT 6 THE OIL AND STONE APPROACH ROADWAY SURFACES, SHOW LONGITUDINAL, TRANSVERSE, AND RANDOM CRACKING, SOME ARE OPEN UP TO 1\(\frac{1}{2}\) INCH, PAS BREAKAGE ALONG THE EASTERLY, AND WESTERLY ENDS OF THE STRUCTURE		ONGITUDINAL, O 1/2 INCH, PAST HE STRUCTURE,				
		BITUMINOUS PATCHES, MULTIPLE TRANSVERSE CRACKING WITH LIGHT BREAKAGE ALONG BOTH DECK ENDS, SETTLEMENT ALONG BOTH DECK ENDS OF UP TO 1 INCH, AND AN UNEVEN SURFACE. THERE ARE NEWER BITUMINOUS PATCHED AREAS ALONG EACH END OF THE STRUCTURE, DUE TO HOLES FOUND, IN THE PAST.				
APPROACH EMBA	ANKMENT 7	MINOR EROSION, FROM ROADWAY RUN-OFF, IS NOTED ALONG A	ALL BANKS.			
TRAFFIC SAFETY	FEATURES:					
BRIDGE F	RAILINGS 0					
TRAN	SITIONS 0					
APPROACH GUA	RDRAILS 0					
APPR. GUARDRA	AIL ENDS 0					
LOAD POSTING	;					
SINGLE UN	IT (TONS) 30					
н	IS (TONS)					
4 AXLI	E (TONS)					
35	S2 (TONS)					
ADVANCE WAR	RNING Y/N 8					
L	EGIBILITY 8					
	OCATION 8					
MISC.						
MIN VERT. UNDER	RCLR.	0) 0 "				
POSTED CLR. UN	DER BRIDGE		8			
POSTED CLR. ON		0, 0,				
ADVANCE WARNI	NG (Y/N)	No				
SPEED LIMIT (IF A	ANY)	0 _{MPH}				
CHARACTER OF	TRAFFIC	THE TRAFFIC VOLUME WAS LIGHT OVER THE STRUCTUR INSPECTION, WITH ALL TYPES OF VEHICLES NOTED.	E DURING THE			
ADDITIONAL NOT	ES					
ENGINEER DAV BACKWALL, ME HOLE APPEARE	ID TASSAVO ASURING AI ED TO BE A F	ON OF THIS STRUCTURE, THE CHAPLIN TOWN CREW HAD TO BE N R, DUE TO A HOLE ALONG THE EASTERLY END OF THE STRUCTUR BOUT .5 SQUARE FOOT. THIS AREA WAS FILLED IN WITH BITUMINOU IAZARD TO MOTORCYCLE, OR BICYCLE TRAVEL. IT APPEARS THAT S IN THE FUTURE.	E, OVER THE JS PATCH. THIS			
ADDITIONAL COM	MENTS:					

BRIDGE #: 04601		0.1	INSPECTION	DATE:	7/9/2003
Inspectors' Signatures:	1)	John G. Brudier	Date:	020912003	NICET AET
	2)		Date:	//	081734 CXP. 2-7 ₀ 35.
	3)		Date:	//	
	4)		Date:	//	
P.E. Signature:			Date:	//	
P.E.#: Reviewed by:		Day CD	DOT Date:	10/0,03	

BRIDGE NUMBER 04601, THE TOWN OF CHAPLIN - NORTH BEAR HILL ROAD OVER THE NATCHAUG RIVER.

MAINTENANCE RECOMMENDATIONS AS OF AUGUST 29, 2001 BY LEAD BRIDGE INSPECTOR JOHN G. BRNDIAR.

- 1) SEAL THE SURFACE CRACKING OVER THE STRUCTURE.
- 2) CLEAN AND PAINT THE BRIDGE RAILINGS.
- 3) APPLY PROPER JOINTS ALONG THE ENDS OF THIS STRUCTURE. THE BITUMINOUS SURFACE IS BREAKING UP, CRACKING, AND PAST SPALLED. THE SURFACE WILL AGAIN BREAK UP, AND CREATE HOLES ALONG THE ENDS OF THE STRUCTURE.
- 4) CLEAN AND PAINT THE BEARINGS, AND STRUCTURAL STEEL.
- 5) WELD NEW PLATE OVER THE WEBS WHERE LOSS IS NOTED.
- 6) REPAIR THE PIER CAP, WHERE SPALLING IS NOTED UNDER STRINGER 8, AND THE BEARING PLATE IS UNDERMINED.

	7-2003	J. BRWDIAR
7	ı	
NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION
	REV	ISIONS

Bridge Number 04601 Inspected By: Sufficiency Rating Previous Inspection Date Bridge Number 8 Previous Inspection Date 8/29/2001 Data Entry By: August Gwyman STATE OF CONNED DEPARTMENT OF TRAN BRIDGE SAFETY & EV SHEET 1 OF 2 FORM BRI- SHEET 3 OF 28	SPORTATION VALUATION VALUATION 19 REV 10/00	Indepth Insp 6/9/1999	Deck Survey CRITICAL FEATURE INSPEC	CTIONS	RED FLAG
Copies Made Data Entry Date: 012-17-03		Special:			-
IDENTIFICATION ————————————————————————————————————			AGE AND SERVICE -		
Bridge Name	DESABILITA	069	106) Year Reconstructe	ed 1990	
Town Name CHAPLIN Town Code 13810 5) Inventory Route: A) Record Type 1 D) Route Number 00000	Antivacia	Highway	B) Under	5 WATERWAY	
A) Record Type 1 D) Route Number 00000 B) Signing Prefix 5 City Street E) Directional Suffix 0 NA	28) Number of Lanes: A) On 2		B) Under	0	
C) Level of Service 0 None of the bel	29) Average Daily Train	ffic 200		alf ADT?: No	
6) Feature Intersected NATCHAUG RIVER	109) Percent Truck		7 %		
INNER SHOPPING UP TO THE THE BUYER SHOPPING COUNTY OF THE THE SHOPPING COUNTY OF THE THE SHOPPING COUNTY OF THE SH	30) Year of ADT	nath	1993 4 miles		_
7) Facility Carried: NO BEAR HILL ROAD NO RTH BEAR HILL RD	19) Bypass, Detour Le		GEOMETRIC DATA ——		_
9) Location	48) Length of Max Sp		36 ft		
. 4 MILE EAST OF ROUTE 198	49) Structure Length		75 ft		
11) Milepoint 1.73 Miles 16) Latitude 41 deg 48 min 00 sec deg min . sec 17) Longitude 72 deg 7 min 6.00 sec deg min . sec 98) Border Bridge:		0,0 ft	B) Right 23.4 ft 24.1 ft 18 ft	0.0 ft	ft ft ft
A) State Code C) Border Town Name B) Percent Responsibility %	33) Bridge Median Deck Area	ay widii	0 No Median 1807 sqft		sq
	34) Skew Angle		10 deg		
99) Border Bridge Structure No	35) Structure Flared		0		
CTRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL	10)Inv. Rte. Min. Ver		99 ft 99 in	l ft l	in
43) Structure Type, Main: A) Material 3 Steel B) Design Type 2 Stringer/Multi-beam or	47) Log Inv. Rte. Tota 47) RLog Inv. Rte. To 53) Min Vert Clearan	otal Horiz. Clr.:	23.4 ft ft 99 ft 99 in	ft	ft
44) Structure Type, Approach: A) Material 0 Other 45) Number of Spans, Main Unit 2 46) Number of Approach Spans 0	54) Min Vert Under C 55) Min Lat Under Cl 56) Min Lat Under Cl	earance on Right	N Ref 0 ft 0 in N Ref 99.9 ft 0.0 ft	Ref ft .	in ft ft
107) Deck Structure Type 6 Corrugated Steel		E	BRIDGE COMMENTS		
108) Wearing Surface/Protective System: A) Type of Wearing Surface 9 Other B) Type of Membrane 0 None C) Type of Deck Protection 0 None		4			

Do

	- CLASSIFICATION -		A THE LANGE OF THE
112) NBIS Bridge Length	Yes		STRUCTURE EVALUATION Bridge Number 04601 NBIS Length
104) Highway System	0 Off System		SHEET 2 OF 2 FORM BRI-19 REV 10/00 Town Name CHAPLIN Yes. 75
26) Functional Class	9 Rural Local		Facility Carried NO BEAR HILL ROAD
100) Defense Highway	0 Not Defense Highway		SHEET OF OF (INSP. REPORT) Feature Crossed NATCHAUG RIVER
101) Parallel Structure	N No parallel structure exists		(1995年) 1995年 -
102) Direction of Traffic	2 2-way traffic		Inspected By: 5.1312 1ATE & P. VENOLES
103) Temporary Structure			
110) Designated National Network	0 Not on national network		LOAD RATING AND POSTING
20) Toll	3 On Free Road		31) Design Load 0 Evaluation Code
21) Maintain	3 Town or Township Highway Agency		63) Operating Rating Type 1 Year of Evaluation 1998
22) Owner	3 Town or Township Highway Agency		64) Operating Rating 36.0 70) Bridge Posting 2
Report Class	L LOCAL		65) Inventory Rating Type 1. 41) Structure Status P
37) Historical Significance	5 Bridge is not eligible for National Register		66) Inventory Rating 21.0 2.1.5 Posted for load
DrainageBasinCode	WATERWAY 3260		Rating By APPRAISALS Rating By
38) Navigation Control	0 No navigation control on waterway		58) Deck 5 3 1/7 67) Structure Evaluation 5 4 19
39) Navigation Vert Clr. 0	40) Navigation Horiz CIr. 0	-	59) Superstructure 5 4 1 1 68) Deck Geometry 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
116) Vert-Lift Brg Nav Min	40) Navigation Horiz Cir. 0	_	60) Substructure 5 4 7 7 69) Under Clear Vert & Horiz N
111) Pier Abutment Protection			61) Channel & Chan. Protection 6 6 N7 71) Waterway Adequacy 4 4 N
111) Flet Abutilient Flotection	PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS		62) Culverts N / / 72) Approach Rdwy Alignment 6 / // / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
75A) Type of Work Proposed	PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS		113) Scour Critical
75B) Work Done By			115) Scoul Childan
76) Length of Struct. Improvement	#		Items 58 Thru 72 Checked By:
	\$		36) Traffic Safety Features:
	s 		A) Bridge Railings
	s		B) Transitions 0
97) Year of Improvement Cost Est.	* Anna Administration of the Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Ann		c) Approach Guardrail 0
114) Future ADT	115) Year Future ADT		D) Approach Guardrail End 0
List No. Project No.	Advertised		OTHER FEATURES
Tiofot ite.	POSTED SIGNS & UTILITIES		
Other Posted Signs 1	BLANK (Fence Required No Barrel Ladder No Daniel Ladder No Danie
Other Posted Signs 2	no -	\neg	Fence Present No Stand Pipes No No
Actual P.L. Single Unit Truck	tons Actual P.L. 4Axle Truck tons	$\overline{}$	Fence Height 0.0 ft ft Cat Walks No
Rec. P.L. Single Unit Truck	tons Rec. P.L. 4Axle Truck 30 tons		Fence Type 0 Movable Inspection System No
Actual P.L. Semi-TrailerTruck	tons Actual P.L. 3S2 Truck tons		Fence Material 0 Loose Concrete Checked? No Loose Concrete Checked?
Rec. P.L. Semi-TrailerTruck	tons Rec. P.L. 3S2 Truck tons		Fence Top Type 0
Rec. P.L. All Vehicles	tons Actual P.L. All Vehicles 30 tons		INSPECTION COMMENTS -
Posted Vert Clearance On Bridge	0 ft 0 in	In	Proposed Next Indepth Insp Year 2009
Posted Vert UnderClearance	Oft O in BLANK		Senior daiglejl
Posted Speed Limit	0 mph	mot	
Utility			10 10-03
	/		REVIEWED BY: Date